Living Donor Liver Transplantation

Wits Donald Gordon Medical Centre

J Loveland, J Botha, R Britz, B Strobele, A Mahomed, B Bobat, S Rambarran, F van der Schyff et al





Patient-centred. Independent. Academic.







The First





- 1963 C Henry Kempe presented Bennie Solis to Starzl
 - University of Colorado
 - Infectious Diseases Specialist
 - Defender of children
- Starzl suggested transplant Kempe agreed
 - 1981 when moved to Pittsburgh, firmly supported moving program forward

"The Puzzle People"

Bennie

- 3 year old
- BA



"The day he was born, he began his slow walk to Calvary and was almost there..."

Thomas Starzl

- 1st March 1963 attempted first liver transplant
- Died during explant procedure
 - Bleeding
 - Previous surgery
 - PHT
 - Coagulopathy

"The Puzzle People"

The Early Days

- 4 further transplants 1963
- All died complications pulmonary embolic disease
 EPCA thrombogenic!!!
 - "I saw and talked with the patient.....liver making large amounts clear bile.....was in better condition than the surgeons"

Willard Goodwin *"The Yellow Paper"* May 11 1963

- Self imposed moratorium until first survivor
 - 1967

"Revolution" in management of liver failure

Morio Kasai, MD, 1922-2008



Thomas Stazl, 1926-2017



November 2018

- 168 transplants
 - 8 re-transplants
- 101 Deceased Donors
 - 50 Whole **30.5%**
 - 34 Splits 20.7%
 - 17 Reduced **10.4%**
- 65 Living Donors 38.4%
- 20 fulminant hepatic failure
 - 16 well at last follow up

61.6%



UNIT GROWTH



GRAFT TYPE BY ERA



Loveland et al. S Afr Med J 2014 104 (11) 799 - 802

Patient Survival



Step 1

1. Successfully implemented LDLT



Context Adult LDLT: Donor outcomes

- Paediatric programme established donor data
- 65 LD hepatectomies
 - Age < 50
 - -BMI < 30
- Established protocol
 - Sociomedical questionnaire
 - MDT evaluation
 - Independent transplanting team
 - Anatomical suitability (CT)
 - Volumetric Assessment



Donor Outcomes

- Liver biopsy
 - Only if radiological evidence of steatosis
- Biliary anatomical definition
 - Intra-operative cholangiogram



Donor Outcomes

- 50 female
- 15 male
- 51 parents



- 43 of these mothers
- Remainder bar 2 were related
- Donor profile impacted by fact that recipients are kids

Theatre Time



Post Operative Morbidity

		Number	%
Total no. of complications		20	30.7
Clavien Grade			
		11	55
		2	10
	IIIb	3	15
	IV	2	10
	V	0	0

Grade IV Complications

Bowel perforation with multiple laparotomies, TPN, abdominal wall reconstruction

Respiratory arrest due to inadvertent opioid overdose

Step 2

- 1. Successfully implemented LDLT
- 2. Demonstrated donor safety





Position Statement

- Constraints to Transplant
 - Socioeconomic
 - Religious
 - Cultural beliefs

Adversely impact deceased organ donation

- Context wait list mortality of 20%
 - LDLT crucial to paediatric population

Fulminant hepatic failure

Adult Need

- 10% Waiting list death
 - As compared to 20%



- Ability improve organ access
- Proven donor outcomes
 - Balance risk of LDLT
 - Wait list death
 - Morbidity and mortality of transplant

Can this experience translate to our adult population?

Step 3

1. Successfully implemented LDLT

2. Demonstrated donor safety

3. Recipient need

Concepts

- Makuuchi et al 1st successful LL A-A LDLT in 1993
- Concerns
 - GRWR < 0.8
 - Survival 82.1% to 54.5% at 3 months!

Tanaka et al Yonsei Med Journal 2004

– Similar Kiuchi et al

- Significant trend RL grafts
 - Associated risks



Graft Selection



Figure 1: Graft selection algorithm in Kyushu University. *A left lobe graft of GV/SLV <35% was considered to be used when the donor was younger than 40 years old or recipient's liver function was good or low MELD score without severe portal hypertension. APOLT = auxiliary partial orthotopic liver transplantation

Donor Risk

- 34 RL donor deaths worldwide
- Morbidity
- Difference in opinion between East and West
 - West
 - Significantly increased M and M
 - East
 - No significant difference
- Balance donor safety with recipient outcomes

Increased risk after RL donation must be taken seriously







Retrospective analysis

- 200 LL LDLT's
- 112 RL LDLT's
 - Donor Morbidity
 - Survival
 - Complications



Donor Morbidity

• Left

- 36.0%
- Right

- 34.8%



 Discussion point as strong argument in US that morbidity significantly higher with RL

Recipient Survival

RL

- 1 Year: 85.6% 89.8%
 5 Year: 77.9% 71.3%
 10 Year: 69.5% 70.7%
 - Wide Caval anastomosis
 - SAL (8%) abandoned
 - Splenectomy (36%)
 - 2 HPCS

LL

MELD > 30 = Consider RL over LL



• 528 recipients

- Patient survival
 - 1, 3, 5, and 10
 years

- 87.8%
- 81.8%
- 79.4%
- 72%



Soejima et al. Transplantation 2018 102 (9) e382 – e391

LARGE FOR SIZE SYNDROME

• 8 cases

- 4 Whole grafts
- 1 Living Donor
 - Radiology
- 2 split

• 1 CLKT



- Alluded to ductal diameter of 150 microns as potentially prognostic for drainage
 - 10 of 14 with ducts > 200 μ drained
 - Only 1 of 13 < 150µ

"Size may be of great significance"

• All cured cases surgery before 4 months of age



"Not a few cases Might be curable if portoenterostomy carried out before 4 months of age, preferably within 3 months after birth"

Kasai et al. Journal of Paediatric Surgery 1968 3 (6) 665 -675

Small For Size Syndrome



Kyoto: Poor Outcomes.....



Tanaka et Al. Yonsei Medical Journal 2004 1089 - 1094

SFSS

- Not purely a function of size
 - Primary Graft Dysfunction
 - Technical
 - Anatomical
 - Immunological
 - Hepatitis related issues

Small penis? Have I got a car for you.

Jes Ikegami et al. Am J Transplant 2012 12 1886 – 1897

- Inpatient status
- Donor age > 45
- MELD > 20
- PVP > 20mmHg
- Blood loss > 10 litres

RISK FACTORS

EXCLUDED

Ikegami et al. Journal of the American College of Surgeons 2013 216(3) 353 - 362

Consolidation

- Approach to optimizing outcomes and preventing recipient morbidity
 - Graft Inflow Modulation/Portal Flow Modulation
 - Applied to individual patient
 - Variety of techniques
 - Indirect
 - Hepatic Venous Outflow optimization
 - Splenic Artery Ligation
 - Splenectomy
 - Shunt ligation
 - Direct
 - Hemi Porto Caval Shunt

D E B A T F

Cumulative Graft Survival



Ikegami et al. Journal of the American College of Surgeons 2013 216(3) 353 - 362

Conclusion



Tanaka et al 2004

Ikegami et al 2013

PVP Modulation



Yao S et al. Liver Transpl. 2018 Nov;24(11):1578-1588







WDGMC Transplant Unit

• Proven donor safety large cohort living donors

- Significant impact organ availability
 - Organ of choice 40% paediatric patients
- Despite lower wait list mortality
 - Similar pressure DD organs adult population
- Appropriate embark adult LDLT programme

Graft Selection



Figure 1: Graft selection algorithm in Kyushu University. *A left lobe graft of GV/SLV <35% was considered to be used when the donor was younger than 40 years old or recipient's liver function was good or low MELD score without severe portal hypertension. APOLT = auxiliary partial orthotopic liver transplantation

